Optimised mai	ntenance interv	<i>y</i> als		P1-01
Brief description				
		through regular care nd the expected serv	•	
Impact type				
$\square$ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	□ Traffic      management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⋈ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
⋈ short term	$\square$ medium-term	☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	w construction)
Effects on availability  Beyond the maintenance interval in the RABT, in the course of additional maintenance intervals or those based on experience, accident damage/defects can be repaired more quickly and additional visual and functional checks can be carried out.				
	sks that can be iden	nnel operating techno tified and eliminated		
Responsible: Tunne	el Manager			

An organisational measure that is easy to implement in relation to other measures.

Introduction of	f a plant health	system		P1-O2
Brief description				
Real-time monitoring of components and operational equipment enables identification of anomalies and patterns in their performance that indicate suboptimal use or impending system failure.				
Impact type				
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
□ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmer	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	□ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	w construction)
	•	initiate the repair of	affected systems an	d components or
additional risks the Cross-object No Cross-threat Yes	nat can be identified	operating technology and eliminated mor	·	etc. entail
Responsible: Tunne	-	er component failure	can be avoided by in	ntroducing a plant
Delayed response (	n response only atte	er component ianure	can be avolued by If	iti oducing a piant

Delayed response or response only after component failure can be avoided by introducing a plant health system. This makes proactive and coordinated maintenance possible. The feasibility is organisationally, technically complex and relatively cost-intensive.

#### Maintenance and service management system P1-03 (beyond the recommendations of the RABT) **Brief description** The introduction of a maintenance and servicing management system helps to ensure that all systems are regularly checked for their function. Systems for assessing asset health or communication with maintenance companies, if available, should be incorporated here. Impact type $\ \square \ technical$ $oxed{\boxtimes}$ organisational Resilience phase $\boxtimes$ prevent $\square$ protect $\square$ respond $\square$ recover □ prepare Place of action □ Central system ☐ Network element ☐ regional environment construction incl. portals Implementation Building-☐ Management level ☐ Event-☐ Traffic ☐ Natural hazardsmanagement management management management Use case Stock Time horizon Short term ☐ medium-term ☐ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction) Effects on availability The availability of the tunnels can be improved, for example by ordering spare parts with long delivery times at an early stage or by informing maintenance companies at an early stage. Synergy effects Security

Yes

Cross-object

No

o cross-threat

Yes

#### Feasibility

Failures or malfunctions of the tunnel operating technology due to excessively long or faulty maintenance intervals can be implemented relatively easily. In particular, the unavailability of spare parts can be avoided through appropriate contractual agreements, which will, however, result in additional costs.

Installation of a condition	additional senso	ors to monitor th	ne technical	P1-T1
Brief description				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	nagement system, co systems for their fun	
Impact type				
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oximes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	□ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☑ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environment	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
⋈ short term	☐ medium-term	□ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availability  The availability of the tunnels can be improved if defective or faulty operating technology can be reacted to at an early stage.				
Synergy effects  Security Yes  Cross-object No  cross-threat Yes				

**Feasibility**Failure or malfunction of the tunnel operating technology can be implemented relatively easily. The installation is relatively cost-intensive.

# **P2 - Preventing disruptive events**

Access regulat	ion for invento	ry documents		P2-01
Brief description				
Prevent unauthorised access to sensitive information about the structure and functioning of operational equipment.				
Impact type				
□technical				
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>✓ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	ent
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	$\square$ Management level
Use case				
☑ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
⊠ short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for ne	ew construction)
Effects on availability  The theft of sensitive building and operational information can be used to exploit it for cyberattacks or other acts of sabotage, massively limiting its availability or bringing it to a complete standstill.				
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes/No cross-threat Yes  Feasibility				
-	mplement through	implementation of th	e BSI recommendat	ions

#### Restriction for transports of dangerous goods P2-02 **Brief description** Restricting the passage of dangerous goods transports subject to labelling prevents incidents involving dangerous goods and leads to a reduction in the potential extent of damage. It must be taken into account that the rerouting of dangerous goods transports when increasing the availability of a tunnel structure can lead to economic follow-up costs due to additional transport time or additional emissions, depending on the alternative route. Impact type $\Box$ technical Resilience phase $\boxtimes$ prevent □ protect $\square$ respond $\square$ recover ☐ prepare Place of action ☐ Central system $\square$ regional environment construction incl. portals **Implementation** Building-☐ Event-☐ Natural hazards-☐ Management level management management management management Use case New building Time horizon ⋈ short term ☐ medium-term ☐ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction) Effects on availability By restricting the transport of dangerous goods after an incident, the additional risk that may have arisen can be mitigated in order to continue operating the tunnel temporarily and thus achieve partial availability. Further information can be found in the AP report on minimum operating conditions. Synergy effects Security Yes

# Feasibility

Cross-objectNocross-threatNo

Relatively easy to implement in terms of organisation and costs.

Hazard analysis	s			P2-O3
Brief description				
Comprehensive analysis of the relevant hazards (natural, man-made and other) in the planning phase, as well as at regular intervals throughout the operational life of a road tunnel, in order to be aware of changes in the hazard situation and to be able to react appropriately. Serves to reduce the frequency of disruptive events.				
Impact type				
□technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	□ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	☑ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
oxtimes short term	$\square$ medium-term	☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	v construction)
	zard analyses, neces	ssary countermeasur vents or exclude the	es can be identified a m altogether.	and implemented
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes  Feasibility				
very simple and inc	expensive to implem	ent.		

Exposure analy	sis			P2-O4
Brief description				
The exposure analy exposed to an iden		nazard analysis and is	used to assess how t	the tunnel is
Impact type				
$\Box$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
□ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☑ Central system	⊠ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
oxtimes short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availability  If, based on the exposure analysis, it can be concluded that the tunnel is exposed to the hazard, the necessary countermeasures can be implemented to reduce or eliminate the frequency of disruptive events and maintain availability.				
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes/No cross-threat Yes  Feasibility  Very simple and ine	expensive to implem	nent.		

#### Agree availability values with service providers, e.g. electricity, P2-05 data connection, water **Brief description** By agreeing on minimum availability levels for necessary resources such as electricity, communication and water, any bottlenecks that may limit the availability and thus the safety of tunnels can be proactively reduced. Impact type $\square$ technical $oxed{\boxtimes}$ organisational Resilience phase $\boxtimes$ prevent $\square$ protect $\square$ respond ☐ recover $\square$ prepare Place of action □ Central system $\square$ Network element $\square$ regional environment construction incl. portals Implementation □ Building-☐ Event-☐ Traffic ☐ Natural hazards-☐ Management level management management management management Use case Time horizon ⋈ short term ☐ medium-term ☐ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction) Effects on availability Dangers resulting from the unavailability of essential operational resources can thus be avoided. **Synergy effects** Security Yes o Cross-object No o cross-threat Yes

Very simple but with additional costs from the necessary contract agreement.

**Feasibility** 

Level monitori	ng			P2-T1
Brief description				
levels at the respective events t	ctive watercourse on hrough the use of for the garly traffic mea	s located in a floodpla can be used by the ope flood protection eleme sures such as dedicate	erator to reduce the ents and to mitigate	frequency of the traffic impact
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	☐ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	ent
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management		☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for ne	ew construction)
Effects on availabi Reduces the impac	•	azards		
Synergy effects <ul> <li>Security</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Cross-object</li> </ul>				

# Feasibility

No cross-threat

Easy to implement: . Current information on water levels and flood hazards can be obtained from the transnational flood portal (https://www.hochwasserzentralen.de/) and the flood hazard maps.

Thermal scanne	er			P2-T2
Brief description				
·		n of overheated vehi erted before entering	•	f infrared
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	⊠ Event-     management	□ Traffic      management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availabili	•	parts can be reduced	or avoided altogethe	er.
Synergy effects				
<ul><li>Security</li></ul>				
Yes o Cross-object				
No				
o cross-threat				
No				
<b>Feasibility</b> Cost estimate: For r	new construction an	d upgrading, approx.	. 150,000€ per lane a	nd annual
maintenance costs			•	

Avalanche cont	trol			P2-T3
Brief description				
	eceding threat analy	•	n exposed sections on the swere identified a	
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
□ prevent	⊠ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	☐ Central system	Network element     ■     Network element     Network eleme	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	⊠ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
_	-		perating technology	and network
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat No  Feasibility				
Good feasibility, bu	t associated with ad	ditional costs		

Rockfall protec	tion			P2-T4
Brief description				
•	•	•	posed sections of roa ified as a real danger	
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
□ prevent	⊠ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	⊠ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	□ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	v construction)
Effects on availabil Blocking of the trac element due to roc	k section, damage t	o the structure and c	pperating technology	and network
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat No  Feasibility Good feasibility by	it associated with ac	Aditional costs		

Preventive ava	lanche blasting			P2-T5
Brief description				
	he blasting, carried on the contraction of the cont	out under controlled ze or timing.	conditions, helps to	anticipate and
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
□ prevent	oxtimes protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	☐ Central system	☑ Network element	☐ regional environmer	nt
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	□ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
⊠ short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for nev	v construction)
Effects on availability  Blocking of the section, damage to the structure and operating technology and network element as a result of sudden avalanches is greatly reduced.				
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat No  Feasibility				
Good feasibility, bu	t associated with ad	ditional costs.		

Higher clearand	ce gauge			P2-T7
Brief description				
By aligning the clearance height in road tunnels to that of the open track of 4.7 m, unintentional ramming of operational equipment on the tunnel ceiling can be avoided. In addition, problems in connection with height control can be avoided as far as possible.				
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	⊠ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⋈ New building	☐ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for new	construction)
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Protection against r	•	essive vehicle dimens	sions	
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat No				
Feasibility				

Only for new construction with considerable additional costs

Gas detectors				P2-T8	
Brief description					
The ignition or explored ventilation control)	Detection of toxic, flammable or explosive gases in the tunnel by special gas measuring devices.  The ignition or explosion of these can be prevented by appropriate defence measures (e.g. ventilation control). Likewise, the extent of the damage can be reduced by informing the emergency services in good time in the event of an incident.				
Impact type					
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational				
Resilience phase					
□ prevent	⊠ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	□ prepare	
Place of action					
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmer	ıt	
Implementation					
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level	
Use case					
New building	⊠ Stock				
Time horizon					
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	☐ not relevant (for nev	v construction)	
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Protection against	•	se of hazardous subs	tances		
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat No  Feasibility					
	osts for new constru	ction and upgrading:	Investment costs: a	oprox. 100,000 €	

per km and maintenance/year approx. 10%;

Test environm	ent for software	e updates		P2-T9
Brief description				
Software updates and changes to the configuration are first tested in a test environment and only transferred to the productive systems after the tests have been completed. Test environments can consist of physical and virtual components and should represent the real environment so accurately (state of HW, FW, SW, configuration) that errors can be detected before the new software is deployed in a tunnel.				
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
□ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☐ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
□ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availability  Avoiding failure or malfunctions due to faulty or incompatible hardware and software in control centres				
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes cross-threat				

Good feasibility, whereby the test environment (e.g. digital twin) causes relatively high costs.

No

Feasibility

Avoid large lo	ngitudinal slope	es		P2-T10
Brief description				
High longitudinal s speed profile)	lopes increase the e	extent of damage in ca	ase of fire and accide	ents (increased
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	☐ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	w construction)
Effects on availabi Reduction of accid	<b>lity</b> ent frequency and f	ire risk		
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
Yes o Cross-object				
No				
o cross-threat				
No				
Feasibility Only feasible in new buildings during the planning phase depending on the boundary conditions nigh costs				

Vulnerability a	nalysis			P3-O1
Brief description				
central systems, esp analysis. Findings fr	pecially against the b	oackground of releva uld inform monitorir	tructure, operationa int threats identified ng and maintenance	in the hazard
Impact type				
$\Box$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
oxtimes short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	w construction)
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Reduction of long d	•			
Synergy effects  Security Indirect effectors Cross-object No cross-threat Yes	ets			

Feasibility

Physical access	management			P3-O2
Brief description				
Protection against unauthorised access through access control and protection. e.g. key issuance to tunnel building and tunnel control room with recording of personal information (ID card, telephone number), access only after prior notice, two-factor identification, access monitoring by means of video (also of fire brigade key depots, exit from emergency exits).				
Impact type				
□technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
⋈ short term	$\square$ medium-term	□ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	v construction)
<b>Effects on availabili</b> Protection against s	•			
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes				

Feasibility

Infection contr	ol			P3-O3
Brief description				
	eract the consequer of staff, e.g. operat	nces of a pandemic (a	ınd e.g. flu epidemic	s), which could
Impact type				
□technical				
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☐ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	nt
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	$\square$ Management level
Use case				
☑ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
⊠ short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	w construction)
Effects on availabil Avoid missing (open	-			
Synergy effects  Security  No  Cross-object  Yes  cross-threat  Yes  Feasibility				
Easy to implement	at low cost			

Windbreak par	nels			P3-T1
Brief description				
In the event of exceptional exposure, strong winds can impair the performance of the tunnel ventilation for the removal of smoke or pollutants. They can also endanger traffic safety when entering and leaving the tunnel. Windbreak panels can help reduce the wind load in the portal area and the tunnel interior for certain wind directions.				
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	☐ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	ent
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	□ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for ne	ew construction)
<b>Effects on availabi</b> Protection against	•	performance in the	event of a fire.	
Synergy effects  Security No Cross-object No cross-threat No Feasibility				
reasibility				

# Wind speed warning system

P3-T2

# **Brief description**

In the event of exceptional exposure, strong winds can impair the performance of the tunnel ventilation to remove smoke or pollutants. They can also endanger traffic safety when entering and leaving the tunnel. Special weather stations can monitor the wind speed in the portal areas and react directly to wind loads with speed restrictions by integrating them into the traffic control system. Integration into the control centre also makes it possible to adapt the control of the ventilation to unfavourable flow conditions in the event of a fire.

Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	☐ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	ent
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	□ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term		$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for ne	ew construction)
Effects on availabi Protection against	•	performance in the	event of a fire.	
Synergy effects  Security  No Cross-object  No cross-threat  No				
Feasibility Easy to implement	at medium cost			

Ram protection	n			P3-T3
Brief description				
· ·		g operational equipn e portal area before		
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	□ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
⊠ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for ne	w construction)
Effects on availabil Damage to the stru dimensions	•	technology as a resu	ult of pile driving and	l excessive vehicle
Synergy effects  Security No Cross-object No cross-threat No  Feasibility				
Easy to implement	at medium cost			

# Design / construction for seismic loading

P3-T4

### **Brief description**

Reduction of the extent of damage and thus also the duration of restoration through, for example, proof of slope and embankment stability in the portal area, conceptual and constructive measures to avoid inadmissible stresses through joints or friction-locked connections, design for sufficient overburdening in the rock, securing operational equipment against overturning. The basis for this is a preceding threat analysis in which earthquakes were identified as a real danger for the tunnel structure. As of today, there is no construction standard for the seismic design and construction of tunnels in Europe.

<u> </u>				
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	□ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	☐ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for ne	w construction)
Effects on availability  Damage to the structure, operating technology and people as a result of earthquake damage is reduced so that it is possible to return to the original availability more quickly.				
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
No Cross object				
<ul><li>Cross-object</li><li>No</li></ul>				
<ul><li>cross-threat</li></ul>				
No				
Feasibility Fasy to implement	at medium cost			

# Protective measures against water ingress in the tunnel

P3-T5

# **Brief description**

Avoidance of structural damage due to water pressure, damage to operational equipment in case of leakage and traffic impacts in case of water in the tunnel. The basis for this is a preceding threat analysis in which high water levels were identified as a real danger for the tunnel structure. Protective measures against water and tunnel drainage must be planned in accordance with ZTV-ING. If there is a particular risk from hydrological natural hazards, an adapted design of the drainage system can be useful, especially for tunnels with low points along the longitudinal axis of the tunnel.

Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	□ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⋈ New building	☐ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	☐ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for ne	w construction)
Effects on availability  Damage to the structure, operating technology and people as a result of earthquake damage is reduced so that it is possible to return to the original availability more quickly.				
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
No Cross object				
<ul><li>Cross-object</li><li>No</li></ul>				
<ul><li>cross-threat</li></ul>				
No				
Feasibility Fasy to implement	at medium cost			

Automatic fire	e fighting system	ı		Р3-Т6
Brief description				
development of a from spreading to	hting systems in the fire if used correctly, other vehicles. This inment of the fire and amage.	which keeps the size	e of the fire smaller r for the fire brigade	and prevents it to operate on site.
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
□ prevent	□ protect	$\square$ respond	☐ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	ent
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	☐ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	☐ long-term	⊠ not relevant (for ne	ew construction)
Effects on availability  Damage to the structure, operating technology and people as a result of fires is greatly reduced.				
Synergy effects  Security  No Cross-object No				

# Feasibility

o cross-threat No

Cost estimate: New construction: investment costs of €100,000 per reservoir with pumping equipment and €3,000-4,000 per tunnel metre and tube for pipelines, nozzles and fittings. Maintenance costs of 5%

Flood protection	on elements			P3-T7
Brief description				
Flood protection el	ements can minimis	e traffic impact and t	the extent of damag	e during floods.
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	nt
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	□ Natural hazards- management	$\square$ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	w construction)
Effects on availabil Protection against	•	amage to the structu	ıre and business equ	ipment
Synergy effects  Security  No Cross-object  No cross-threat  No				
<b>Feasibility</b> Easy to implement	at medium cost			

Structural explosion protection P3-T8						
Brief description						
Reduction of the extent of damage and thus also of the restoration period, e.g. by designing for explosion loads, construction of a facing shell made of micro-reinforced high-performance concrete, the use of fire-protective concrete, fire-protective cladding, use of damper concrete or double-shell construction.						
Impact type						
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational					
Resilience phase						
oximes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	☐ recover	□ prepare		
Place of action						
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmer	nt		
Implementation						
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level		
Use case						
⋈ New building	☐ Stock					
Time horizon						
☐ short term	☐ medium-term	□ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for never)	v construction)		
Effects on availability Reduction of the extent of damage and thus also of the recovery period						
Synergy effects  Security  No  Cross-object  No  cross-threat  No						

**Feasibility**Easy to implement at high cost

Structural fire	Structural fire protection P3-T9					
Brief description						
Reduction of the extent of damage and thus also of the restoration period, e.g. by designing for higher fire loads, construction of a facing shell made of micro-reinforced high-performance concrete, the use of fire-protective concrete, fire-protective cladding or double-shell construction.						
Impact type						
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational					
Resilience phase						
□ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	☐ recover	□ prepare		
Place of action						
□ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt		
Implementation						
□ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level		
Use case						
New building	⊠ Stock					
Time horizon						
☐ short term		☐ long-term	⊠ not relevant (for ne	w construction)		
Effects on availabil Reduction of the ex	•	thus also of the rec	overy period			
Synergy effects  Security  No Cross-object  No cross-threat  No						
Feasibility						

# Protection of safety-related equipment in the tunnel against physical access

P3-T10

# **Brief description**

Access control reduces the extent of damage by quickly detecting unauthorised (malicious) access to operational equipment and preventing or reducing the effects of sabotage. Physical monitoring, e.g. of manhole covers, can be carried out using fibre optics for early

Physical monitoring, e.g. of manhole covers, can be carried out using fibre optics for early detection of manipulation or sabotage. Control by means of video surveillance or detection is also possible (for individual access points).

•	•			
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
□ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term		☐ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for ne	w construction)
<b>Effects on availabi</b> Protection against	<b>lity</b> manipulation, dama	ge, theft		
Synergy effects  Security No Cross-object No cross-threat No Feasibility				
•	fficulty for impleme	ntation and costs		

Soft stop barri	er			P3-T11		
Brief description						
Effectively prevents further vehicles from entering the tunnel, while red lights in front of the portal are often ignored and the barrier gates do not lower due to flowing traffic. The soft stop barrier was first used at the Sydney Harbour Tunnel in the form of a water curtain with a projected stop sign. The visual barrier effect can also be achieved by (red) illuminated LED strips in the carriageway at the portal. Such a system is currently being tested in Luxembourg.						
Impact type						
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational					
Resilience phase						
□ prevent	□ protect	⊠ respond	□ recover	☐ prepare		
Place of action						
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	ent		
Implementation						
Building- management	☐ Event- management	⊠ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level		
Use case						
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock					
Time horizon						
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	□ not relevant (for next)	ew construction)		
Effects on availability Protection against entry in hazardous situations						
Synergy effects						

No o cross-threat Yes

Easy to implement at medium cost

Feasibility

# Training of the emergency services

M1-01

# **Brief description**

Regular exercises help to prepare the rescue forces for operations in road tunnels. According to the RABT, realistic large-scale exercises should take place at least every 4 years, whereby accident scenarios with and without hazardous materials or fire incidents are usually tested. The measures serve to reduce the resulting extent of damage to users and structures. Effective protection of the structure (e.g. cooling of the concrete components) can improve the recovery time to the original functionality.

To avoid a complete tunnel closure for operational exercises, these can also be carried out at a fire training centre

training centre				
Impact type				
□technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
☐ prevent	$\square$ protect	⊠ respond	☐ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for new)	w construction)
	ency forces gain rele lability of BOS radio	vant experience and in the event of a maj	•	· ·
Synergy effects  Security Yes  Cross-object No  cross-threat Yes				
Feasibility Easy to implement	at low cost			

# TLZ operators training, training of personnel for emergency operating from the master workshop

M1-02

### **Brief description**

The training measures are intended to prepare the operators for dealing with incidents. In addition to technical knowledge, they must also have a high level of resilience and decision-making skills, especially in the case of extraordinary events in the tunnel. With the help of a tunnel simulator, the handling of defined events in a tunnel can be specifically trained. In addition to the usual traffic threats, extraordinary hazardous situations should also be practised.

Impact type				
□technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	oxtimes respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	⊠ not relevant (for ne	w construction)
	n relevant experienc	e and prepare thems at availability suffers		
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes				
<b>Feasibility</b> Easy to implement	at low cost			

#### Video surveillance of tunnels with a length < 400 m M1-03 **Brief description** For critical tunnels, video surveillance can also be useful for a tunnel length < 400 m. The classification for the definition of critical tunnels can be done, for example, on the basis of a tunnel code number. Parameters such as JDTV, congestion probability, cross-section, possible access/exit points, accident figures or the expected traffic impact of unavailability can be taken into account. Impact type $\square$ technical $oxed{\boxtimes}$ organisational Resilience phase $\square$ prevent $\square$ protect $\boxtimes$ respond $\square$ recover □ prepare Place of action ☐ Central system ☐ Network element $\square$ regional environment construction incl. portals Implementation ☐ Building-☐ Traffic ☐ Natural hazards-☐ Management level management management management management Use case Time horizon ☐ short term ☐ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction) Effects on availability The extent of damage can be reduced by shortening the response time Synergy effects Security Yes

Cross-object Nocross-threat Yes

Easy to implement at low cost

**Feasibility** 

Detect (potential) attacks (cyber security, sabotage) M1-O4					
Brief description		<u> </u>			
Typical indicators to prepare for an attack are: - Spying on the target / obtaining information - Attempt to gain access (e.g. via the escape routes, by means of copied keys or via remote / maintenance access) - Attempt to change passwords etc Simultaneous failure / impairment of independent Systems					
Impact type					
□technical	□ organisational				
Resilience phase					
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	☐ recover	$\square$ prepare	
Place of action					
⊠ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☑ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt	
Implementation					
☐ Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level	
Use case					
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock				
Time horizon					
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	□ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for ne	w construction)	
Effects on availability By shortening the reaction time, the extent of damage can be reduced and prevented					
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat No					
<b>Feasibility</b> Medium difficulty a	at medium cost				

Communicating	g restrictions to	those affected		M1-05
Brief description				
In the event of reduced availability, operators of critical infrastructures dependent on the tunnels should be informed immediately in order not to endanger the security of supply.				
Impact type				
$\Box$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☐ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☑ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	⊠ Event-     management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for new)	construction)
Effects on availabiling To reduce the impa	•	ility on other sectors		
Synergy effects  Security No Cross-object No cross-threat No Feasibility				
Easy to implemen	nt at low cost			

#### Access control of security equipment M1-T1 **Brief description** Access control reduces the extent of damage by quickly detecting unauthorised (malicious) access to operational equipment and preventing or reducing the effects of sabotage. Physical monitoring, e.g. of manhole covers, can be carried out using fibre optics for early detection of manipulation or sabotage. Control by means of video surveillance or detection is also possible) for individual access points). Impact type $\boxtimes$ technical $\square$ organisational Resilience phase □ prevent ☐ protect $\square$ respond $\square$ recover ☐ prepare Place of action □ Central system ☐ Network element $\square$ regional environment construction incl. portals **Implementation** ⊠ Building-☐ Event-☐ Traffic ☐ Natural hazards-☐ Management level management management management management Use case Time horizon ☐ short term ☐ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction) Effects on availability Protection against manipulation, damage, theft and their effect on availability Synergy effects Security No

Cross-object Nocross-threat Yes

Easy to implement at medium cost

**Feasibility** 

Increasing the	supply of exting	guishing water		M1-T2
Brief description				
According to the RABT, an extinguishing water supply with a size of 72m³ is provided. In order to have sufficient extinguishing water available in the event of exceptionally large fire incidents, an increase in the size of the storage tank can be envisaged. In addition, the extinguishing water is also used in the course of tunnel washing, which means that an insufficient supply of extinguishing water is available in the period thereafter (up to one day). (The tank is emptied by about half).				
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	□ protect	⊠ respond	□ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>✓ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	ent
Implementation				
Building- management	⊠ Event-     management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for nearly)	ew construction)
Effects on availability Protection from fire				
Synergy effects  Security  No Cross-object  No				

cross-threatYes

New construction: Easy to implement at medium costs

Stock: Difficult to implement at high cost

Stationary or n	Stationary or mobile emergency power generator M1-T3				
Brief description					
In order to be able to continue operating all safety-related equipment in the tunnel in the event of a power supply failure (greater power than UPS system required), a diesel-powered emergency generator can be used for a certain period of time.					
Impact type					
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational				
Resilience phase					
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	⊠ respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare	
Place of action					
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	ent	
Implementation					
Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level	
Use case					
New building	⊠ Stock				
Time horizon					
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for ne	ew construction)	
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Protection from fire	•				
Synergy effects  Security  No Cross-object  No cross-threat  Yes					
Feasibility					

Easy to implement at medium cost

Back-up Contro	Back-up Control Centre / Redundant Tunnel Control Center M1-T4					
Brief description						
The control of each tunnel can be taken over by another redundant TCC in case of failure of the associated permanently manned unit.						
Impact type						
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational					
Resilience phase						
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	⊠ respond	□ recover	□ prepare		
Place of action						
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t		
Implementation						
Building- management	⊠ Event-     management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level		
Use case						
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock					
Time horizon						
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	⊠ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for new	construction)		
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Protection against f	•					
Synergy effects <ul> <li>Security</li> <li>No</li> <li>Cross-object</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>						
<ul><li>cross-threat</li><li>Yes</li></ul>						
Feasibility Easy to implemen	nt at high cost					

Video detectio	n			M1-T5
Brief description				
Video detection is a possibility to quickly and automatically detect events such as traffic accidents or fires with smoke development (especially relevant in case of low heat development). This allows for an early and adapted reaction to the situation.				
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	oxtimes respond	$\square$ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmer	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	⊠ Event-     management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	Stock     ■ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	⊠ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for never)	v construction)
Effects on availabil Shortened reaction	-	ction of the impact o	n the extent of dama	age
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes  Feasibility				
•	nt at medium cost			

Acoustic Tunne	el Monitoring (A	KUT)		M1-T6
Brief description				
Enables the detection of untypical noises in the tunnel such as squealing or burst tyres, slamming vehicle doors or voices through special microphones. In the event of a detection, video images of the affected area are immediately uploaded to the TLZ.				
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	oxtimes respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	⊠ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for nev	v construction)
Effects on availabil Shortened reaction	-	ction of the impact o	on the extent of dama	age
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No Cross-threat Yes				

Easy to implement at medium cost

# **Dangerous goods detection**

M1-T7

#### **Brief description**

A detection of dangerous goods enables the identification of a dangerous goods transport even before it enters the tunnel. In this way, in the event of an incident, it is possible to react appropriately to the increased risk (e.g. due to higher fire load and special requirements for extinguishing work). Video detection cannot always be reliable when dangerous goods panels are soiled. An alternative is the detection of dangerous goods by Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) technology. Here, the vehicles themselves are equipped with an RFID transponder, which transmits the data stored on it to readers installed sufficiently far in front of the gantries.

			.,	8
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	oxtimes respond	$\square$ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	□ Traffic      management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	⊠ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for ne	w construction)
Effects on availability Shortened response time and thus reduced impact on the extent of damage through preventive traffic management.				
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
Yes				
<ul><li>Cross-object</li><li>No</li></ul>				
<ul><li>cross-threat</li></ul>				
Yes				
Feasibility Easy to implement	nt at medium cost			

## **ITCC Integration (International Tunnel Control Center)**

M1-T8

#### **Brief description**

The different components of the ITCC integration (hazard assessment, strategy management, incident management) allow the operator to assess the current situation in the tunnel and to estimate the resulting hazard potential in order to react appropriately. For this purpose, various sensor data and analysis methods can be linked, e.g. number and types of vehicles in the tunnel, driving behaviour, operating parameters, tunnel characteristics and system status. With the help of a so-called real-time safety management system, an earlier initiation of the self-rescue phase can be achieved by reducing the cognitive load of the operators and shortening their reaction time.

Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	$\square$ protect	oxtimes respond	$\square$ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmer	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	□ Traffic      management	☐ Natural hazards- management	$\square$ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	☐ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for never the second content of the second co	v construction)
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Overstraining of the	•	e to too much inform	ation can be reduced	d.
Synergy effects  Security Yes  Cross-object Yes  cross-threat Yes				
Feasibility				
	n: Easy to implement y difficult to implem			
	<u> </u>			

Ensuring access	s to information	technology cor	nponents	M1-T9
Brief description				
Examples: - Closing off cable ducts - Protection of important cables (e.g. retraction into "armoured tubes") - Burglar / vandalism-proof doors - See "Structural separation of breakdown bays and Operating equipment				
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	oxtimes respond	$\square$ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⋈ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for nev	v construction)
Effects on availabil Protection against	<b>ity</b> manipulation, dama <sub>l</sub>	ge, theft		
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No Cross-threat Yes  Feasibility				
	nt at medium cost			

Separate servi	ce pipe for main	tenance work		M1-T10
Brief description				
A separate service	pipe can make servi	ce work more efficie	nt and safer.	
Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	□ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmer	nt
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	$\square$ Management level
Use case				
⋈ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	⊠ not relevant (for nev	w construction)
Effects on availabil Protection against	l <b>ity</b> manipulation, dama	ge, theft		
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes  Feasibility				
Not realisable in Considerable add	existing buildings litional costs for new	<i>ı</i> buildings		

Accelerated ap	proval and awa	rd processes		M2-01
Brief description				
		irios, where administ estore functionality r	trative procedures (si nore quickly.	uch as an EU-wide
Impact type				
$\Box$ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	
Use case				
oxtimes New building	Stock     ■ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for new)	v construction)
Effects on availabil Reducing bureaucra	<b>ity</b> atic hurdles to accel	erate rehabilitation		
Synergy effects  Security Yes  Cross-object No  cross-threat Yes				
<b>Feasibility</b> Effortful feasibilit	y at low cost			

Accelerated bu	ilding permit			M2-O2	
Brief description					
Accelerated construction approval after events (possibly overriding administrative procedures and award guidelines in "crisis situations", such as supply shortages or separation effects or lack of suitable diversion routes) to speed up the recovery process, i.e. to enable a quick return to baseline functionality.					
Impact type					
□technical	oxtimes organisational				
Resilience phase					
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	☐ prepare	
Place of action					
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	nt	
Implementation					
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management		
Use case					
New building	⊠ Stock				
Time horizon					
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for new)	w construction)	
Effects on availabiling Reducing bureaucra	ity atic hurdles to accele	erate rehabilitation			
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes					

**Feasibility**Effortful feasibility at low cost

Define / check	diversion route	es		M2-O3
Brief description				
event or during the	e recovery process. Fility of the low-ranki	e AGAP) can minimis Factors such as addit ng road network to o	ional travel time, ad	ditional emissions,
Impact type				
□technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	□ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	⋈ Network element	⊠ regional environme	ent
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	⊠ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	Stock     ■ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for ne	ew construction)
Effects on availability Identification of efficient alternative routes leads to improved regional availability and reduces congestion on the downstream network				
Synergy effects <ul> <li>Security</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Cross-object</li> </ul>				

No o cross-threat Yes

Easy to implement at low cost

Feasibility

Multimodal alto	ernatives			M2-04
Brief description				
In the event of prolonged unavailability, mobility can also be maintained by using alternative modes of transport. For this purpose, multimodal alternative routes are defined and associated communication strategies are developed.				
Impact type				
$\Box$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☐ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	⊠ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	□ Traffic      management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for new)	construction)
Effects on availability  Multimodal alternative routes lead to improved regional availability and reduce congestion on the downstream network				
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes  Feasibility				

Moderately difficult to implement at low cost

Side strip clear	ance			M2-O5
Brief description				
			l lane serves to incre erational and safety	
Impact type				
$\Box$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	□ Traffic      management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	☐ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for nev	v construction)
Effects on availabili Increased availabili	<b>ity</b> ty during traffic peal	ks		
Synergy effects				
<ul><li>Security</li><li>No</li></ul>				
<ul><li>O Cross-object</li></ul>				
No				
o cross-threat				
Yes				
<b>Feasibility</b> Simple to mediur	n feasibility with low	<i>i</i> costs		

# **Definition of minimum operating conditions**

M2-06

## **Brief description**

The definition of minimum operating conditions enables the operator to make quick decisions on the measures to be taken to ensure the minimum safety level in the respective tunnel in the event of damage scenarios occurring. The measures (combinations) can include operational, organisational or traffic aspects and accelerate the process of restoring functionality. In addition, a basis for the argumentation towards decision-makers, superiors and politics as well as greater certainty of action is created.

Impact type				
$\Box$ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	□ Traffic     management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⋈ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	oxtimes medium-term	☐ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for ne	w construction)
Effects on availabil Enables temporary	•	ain minimum availab	ility until return to fo	ull availability.
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes  Feasibility				
Medium feasibili	ty at medium cost			

Spare parts sto	rage			M2-07
Brief description				
Keeping spare parts on hand can significantly shorten the recovery time due to their rapid availability for certain damage scenarios.				
Impact type				
$\Box$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	⊠ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for new)	v construction)
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Enables a quick retu	•	by bypassing any su	upply bottlenecks.	
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
Yes ○ Cross-object				
No				
o cross-threat				
Yes				
Feasibility		-		
Medium feasibilit	y at medium cost			

Use of modula	r systems for m	ultiple tunnels		M2-08
Brief description				
The use of the same equipment elements for several tunnels considerably facilitates the stocking of spare parts, as modular systems can be used at different objects.				
Impact type				
□technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	⊠ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	nt
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
⋈ New building	☐ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	$\square$ medium-term	⊠ long-term	⋈ not relevant (for never the second content of the second co	v construction)
Effects on availabil Enables a quick ret	-	y by bypassing any su	upply bottlenecks.	
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes				
Feasibility  Medium feasibilit	ry at medium cost			

Framework co	ntracts mainten	ance		M2-O9
Brief description				
restored in a short occurred. As a rule the response time,	nework contracts for er time due to the ra e, up to now there ha but not for the restor those who do not k	pid availability in the ve only been require oration period. In pa	e event of damage so ements for maintena rticular, operators w	cenarios that have nce companies for ithout their own
Impact type				
□technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
☐ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	☐ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	☐ Network element	$\square$ regional environme	nt
Implementation				
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	$\square$ Management level
Use case				
New building	Stock     ■ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	oxtimes not relevant (for ne	w construction)
<b>Effects on availabi</b> Enables quick mair	-			
Synergy effects				

Security

Yes

o Cross-object

Yes

 $\circ \ \ cross\text{-threat}$ 

Yes

# Feasibility

Medium feasibility at medium cost

In-house electr	otechnically tra	nined personnel		M2-O10	
Brief description	Brief description				
In the event of failure of individual systems, the affected equipment must be checked by the electrician. In-house electricians or electrotechnically instructed personnel are available to the tunnel manager on standby duty as the first point of contact in order to obtain a rapid initial assessment of the damage in the event of a malfunction or incident and to be able to intervene if necessary.					
Impact type					
□technical					
Resilience phase					
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	□ prepare	
Place of action					
<ul><li>✓ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	□ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environme	nt	
Implementation					
⊠ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level	
Use case					
☑ New building	⊠ Stock				
Time horizon					
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	⊠ not relevant (for ne	w construction)	
Effects on availability Enables quick assessment of the situation and maintenance					
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes cross-threat Yes					

Easy to implement at medium cost

Operation in te	emporary two-w	vay traffic		M2-O10
Brief description				
Measure to quickly	restore a certain tra	affic flow.		
Impact type				
□technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
☐ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmer	nt
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	□ Traffic      management	☐ Natural hazards- management	$\square$ Management level
Use case				
☑ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	⊠ not relevant (for nev	w construction)
Effects on availabil Maintaining reduce	•	n event until repairs	are carried out	
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object No cross-threat Yes				
Feasibility Medium feasibilit	ty at medium cost			

Agreement on	fixed rates with	service provide	ers	M2-O10
Brief description				
For use in the event of an incident, fixed rates can be agreed precisely for this case in order to process the award quickly and efficiently and not have to pay any surcharges for this special case.				
Impact type				
□technical				
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	□ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☑ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term		☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	v construction)
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Reduction of times	-			
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
Yes o Cross-object				
No				
o cross-threat				
Yes				
<b>Feasibility</b> Easy to implement	nt at medium cost			

# **Cross sections with side strips**

M2-T1

## **Brief description**

Tunnel cross-sections that are equipped with a passable shoulder have the following advantages from an availability perspective:

- A broken-down vehicle can stop on the hard shoulder and does not block moving traffic.
- Maintenance/repair work can often be carried out without lane closures.
- Possibly enable temporary hard shoulder clearance (see M2-O5)
- Possibly enable 2+2 traffic routing when one tube is closed (see M2-T2)

There are also safety advantages:

- A broken-down vehicle parked on the hard shoulder poses less of a risk.
- The access of the emergency services can be made much faster on the hard shoulder.

Impact type				
⊠technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	□ Central system	☐ Network element	☐ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	$\square$ Management level
Use case				
$\square$ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for nev	v construction)
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Reduction of times	-			
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
Yes				
<ul><li>Cross-object</li><li>No</li></ul>				
<ul><li>cross-threat</li></ul>				
Yes				
<b>Feasibility</b> Medium feasibilit	ry with high costs			

# **Equipping RV tunnels for temporary GM**

M2-T2

#### **Brief description**

If a tube of a twin-tube tunnel with directional traffic guidance has to be closed, temporary operation in two-way traffic is an effective measure for partially maintaining functionality. The prerequisite for this is the appropriate safety equipment of the tunnel with the following additional requirements and components:

- Ventilation dimensioning for GV
- Adaptable entrance lighting at both portals
- Crossing system for changing lanes
- (Variable) traffic signs for both directions of travel
- Poss. (structural) centre separation

Impact type				
★ technical	$\square$ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	⊠ recover	$\square$ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☐ Central system	⊠ Network element	⊠ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	□ Traffic      management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
□ New building	Stock     ■ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	v construction)
Effects on availability  Operation in temporary two-way traffic enables the partial maintenance of traffic flow in both directions. This means that partial availability can be restored quickly.				
traffic, which Cross-object No cross-threat Yes	oncoming traffic gen	•	·	to directional
Feasibility				

Additional safety-related equipment elements are usually required. Particular attention must be

# **Determine responsibilities**

paid to the adequate dimensioning of the ventilation.

Brief description				
In order to efficiently manage disruptive events in a holistic manner, responsible persons are defined in all areas of action.				
Impact type				
☐ technical				
Resilience phase				
☐ prevent	$\square$ protect	□ respond	⊠ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	Network element	☑ regional environmer	nt
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
⊠ short term	$\square$ medium-term	☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for nev	v construction)
Effects on availabil Shortened reaction	-			
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes cross-threat Yes				
Feasibility Easy to implement	at low cost			

Establish a cult	ure of resilienc	ce		F-O2
Brief description				
Establish a culture of resilience among the administrative authority, tunnel managers and the investigating body (excluding the safety officer). Through resilience awareness trainings, tunnel operators are trained to identify potentials for increasing resilience.				
Impact type				
$\square$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	$\square$ respond	☐ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	⊠ Network element	⊠ regional environmer	nt
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	⊠ Management level
Use case				
⊠ New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
oxtimes short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for nev	v construction)
Effects on availability Shortened response times through holistic resilience approach				
Synergy effects  o Security				
Yes				
<ul> <li>Cross-object</li> </ul>				
Yes o cross-threat				
<ul><li>cross-threat</li><li>Yes</li></ul>				

Campaigns Use	er Information			F-O3
Brief description				
	quency of incidents correct user behav	in the tunnel and acc	celerate the self-resc	ue and rescue
Impact type				
☐ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	oxtimes respond	☐ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	⊠ Network element	⊠ regional environmer	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	⊠ Event-     management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
Short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for nev	v construction)
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Sensitising users to		our in the event of an	incident	
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes cross-threat Yes Feasibility				
Easy to implement	at low cost			

Best practice exchange F-O4				
Brief description				
Regular exchange of best practices between tunnel owners and operators of other road tunnels and incident services to improve incident management, but also exchange of experience on the use and implementation of various technical systems and organisational measures.				
Impact type				
☐ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
☐ prevent	□ protect	oxtimes respond	□ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☑ Central system	⊠ Network element	⊠ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
oxtimes short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availability  Exchange of experience leads to broad knowledge on all aspects and thus to improved overall resilience and availability				
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes cross-threat Yes				

Security aware	ness raising			F-05
Brief description				
Security Awareness raising through regular discourse and integration in the various aspects of everyday work, e.g. through training, the introduction of a reporting system to identify organisational and vulnerability issues.  See PIARC (Security of Road Infrastructure)				
Impact type				
$\square$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
$\square$ prevent	$\square$ protect	oxtimes respond	$\square$ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	□ Central system	⊠ Network element	⊠ regional environmer	nt
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
⊠ short term	$\square$ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	v construction)
Effects on availability  Exchange of experience leads to broad knowledge on all aspects and thus to improved overall resilience and availability.				
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security         Yes</li> <li>Cross-object         Yes</li> <li>cross-threat</li> </ul>				
Yes				

Provision of a '	resilience budg	get		F-06
Brief description				
A cost budget must be made available by the management level for the implementation of the resilience measures.				
Impact type				
$\square$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
oximes prevent	oxtimes protect	oxtimes respond	⊠ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	☑ Central system	⊠ Network element	⊠ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
<b>Effects on availabil</b> Prerequisite for the	•	the measures and to	improve availability	
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
Yes o Cross-object				
Yes				
o cross-threat				
Yes				
Feasibility Easy to implement	at medium cost			
-as, to implement				

Reappraisal of	past events ("l	earning")		F-07
Brief description				
		t in the management tional technology and	•	as well as the
Impact type				
$\square$ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	oxtimes protect	oxtimes respond	⊠ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	□ Central system	⊠ Network element	☑ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	⊠ Event-     management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for nev	v construction)
Effects on availabil Leads to improved	•	improved availability		
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes cross-threat Yes Feasibility				
Easy to implement	at low cost			

<b>Current docum</b>	entation			F-08
Brief description				
Regular review and, if necessary, updating of the AGAP and the safety documentation in accordance with the "Guideline for the preparation of safety documentation in accordance with RABT 2006".				
Impact type				
$\square$ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
oxtimes prevent	oxtimes protect	oxtimes respond	⊠ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	⊠ Central system	Network element     ■     Network element     Network eleme	□ regional environment	:
Implementation				
Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	☐ Management level
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availabili	i <b>ty</b> resilience and thus ir	mproved availability		
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes cross-threat Yes				
Feasibility Easy to implement a	at low cost			

Integrating resi	ilience into AGA	<b>Λ</b> P		F-09
Brief description				
_	he measures, e.g. by I alternative routes,	y including minimum etc.	operating conditions	s, diversion routes
Impact type				
☐ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ prevent	⊠ protect	oxtimes respond	⊠ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	⊠ Network element	□ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	⊠ Event-             management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	
Use case				
oxtimes New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	☐ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availabilities to improved	•	mproved availability		
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
Yes o Cross-object				
Yes				
<ul><li>cross-threat</li><li>Yes</li></ul>				
Feasibility Easy to implement	at low cost			

## **Extended event database**

F-010

## **Brief description**

Germany-wide database to record the frequency and extent of damage and characteristics of defined traffic events or occurrences of natural events/ man-made events to improve the data basis for safety assessments and trend analyses, as well as proof of the effectiveness of measures. The event database should cover all tunnels monitored in the TLZ and be accessible to authorities, tunnel owners and operators, and planners.

Impact type				
$\square$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Resilience phase				
⊠ prevent	⊠ protect	oxtimes respond	⊠ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals	⊠ Central system	⊠ Network element	⊠ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	⊠ Event-     management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	□ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availability Improved data basis on actual threats leads to improved resilience and thus to improved availability				
Synergy effects				
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>				
Yes				
<ul><li>Cross-object</li><li>Yes</li></ul>				
o cross-threat				
Yes				
Feasibility				
Easy to implement	at low cost			

Support from c	olleagues from	other services		F-011
Brief description				
Resilience measures, especially leadership and culture, can be discussed with other departments and experiences can be shared. In particular, the involvement of critical infrastructure operators should be strengthened.				
Impact type				
$\square$ technical	□ organisational			
Resilience phase				
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ prevent	oxtimes protect	oxtimes respond	⊠ recover	⊠ prepare
Place of action				
<ul><li>☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals</li></ul>	☑ Central system	⊠ Network element	⊠ regional environmen	t
Implementation				
☐ Building- management	☐ Event- management	☐ Traffic management	☐ Natural hazards- management	
Use case				
New building	⊠ Stock			
Time horizon				
$\square$ short term	oxtimes medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for new	construction)
Effects on availability  Broadening the view of interdependencies with other CRITIS to become aware of the role of tunnels in security of supply and promoting close exchange promotes resilience improvement.				
Synergy effects  Security Yes Cross-object Yes cross-threat Yes				

Brief description  The costs of measures that have a cross-sectoral effect can be shared within the framework of agreements.  Impact type   technical	Cost sharing with other affected parties / beneficiaries of F-O12 protective measures				
The costs of measures that have a cross-sectoral effect can be shared within the framework of agreements.  Impact type   technical	<u> </u>				
Resilience phase    prevent   protect   respond   recover   prepare					
Resilience phase	Impact type				
☑ prevent ☑ protect ☑ respond ☑ recover ☑ prepare    Place of action  ☑ Tunnel construction incl. portals  Implementation □ Building-management management	$\square$ technical	oxtimes organisational			
Place of action  ☐ Tunnel construction incl. portals  Implementation ☐ Building- management management management management management  Use case ☐ New building ☐ Stock  Time horizon ☐ short term ☐ medium-term ☐ long-term ☐ not relevant (for new construction)  Effects on availability Implementability through cost sharing is improved.  Synergy effects ○ Security Yes	Resilience phase				
Signary effects   Signary   Signa	⊠ prevent	⊠ protect	⊠ respond	⊠ recover	⊠ prepare
Construction   incl. portals	Place of action				
□ Building-management □ Event-management □ Natural hazards-management ⋈ Management level management   Use case ⋈ New building ⋈ Stock   Time horizon □ short term ⋈ medium-term □ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction)   Effects on availability Implementability through cost sharing is improved.   Synergy effects ○ Security Yes	construction	⊠ Central system	Network element     ■	⊠ regional environme	nt
management management management management  Use case  ☑ New building ☑ Stock  Time horizon ☐ short term ☑ medium-term ☐ long-term ☐ not relevant (for new construction)  Effects on availability Implementability through cost sharing is improved.  Synergy effects ○ Security Yes	Implementation				
New building	_				⋈ Management level
Time horizon  □ short term □ medium-term □ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction)  Effects on availability Implementability through cost sharing is improved.  Synergy effects  ○ Security  Yes	Use case				
□ short term □ medium-term □ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction)  Effects on availability Implementability through cost sharing is improved.  Synergy effects ○ Security Yes	⋈ New building	⊠ Stock			
Effects on availability Implementability through cost sharing is improved.  Synergy effects  Security Yes	Time horizon				
Implementability through cost sharing is improved.  Synergy effects  Security  Yes	☐ short term	⊠ medium-term	$\square$ long-term	$\square$ not relevant (for ne	w construction)
<ul><li>Security</li><li>Yes</li></ul>		-	is improved.		
Yes cross-threat Yes Feasibility	<ul> <li>Security         Yes</li> <li>Cross-object         Yes</li> <li>cross-threat         Yes</li> </ul>				

#### **Ergonomic design of the TLZ user interfaces** F-014 **Brief description** The volume of information to be processed by the operators has risen sharply with increasingly centralised monitoring and a growing number of tunnel structures. In order to optimally support the operators in monitoring, diagnosis and event management, and thus minimise the potential hazards by initiating suitable measures, the available information must be filtered and processed. This way, all important information can be made available to the operator. (See ESIMAS research project) Impact type $oxed{\boxtimes}$ technical $oxed{\boxtimes}$ organisational Resilience phase □ prevent □ protect $\square$ respond ☐ recover ☐ prepare Place of action ☐ Tunnel $\square$ regional environment □ Central system ☐ Network element construction incl. portals Implementation Building-☐ Traffic ☐ Natural hazards-☐ Management level management management management management Use case Stock ■ Time horizon ☐ short term ☐ long-term □ not relevant (for new construction) Effects on availability Improved response times reduce the impact of events on availability Synergy effects Security

Yes
Cross-object
Yes
cross-threat
Yes

**Feasibility**